1

5

8

12

13

16

17

18 19

21 22

20

23 24

26

25

27 28 (inmate and parolee) grievance and appeal process for the Department. Before my tenure as the Associate Director of the Office of Appeals, I worked for over four years as the Chief Deputy General Counsel for the Department's Office of Legal Affairs and there became familiar with the offender grievance and appeal process for the Department.

- 2. Before August 1, 2008, the OOA received, reviewed, and maintained all offender grievances that were appealed to the final level of review (health care related and non-health care related). Since August 1, 2008, the Inmate Correspondence and Appeals Branch (ICAB) receives, reviews, and maintains all final-level appeals related to health care issues (medical, dental, and mental-health), while the OOA receives, reviews, and maintains all final-level appeals for nonhealth care issues. The OOA review of an appeal concludes the administrative remedy process for an offender.
- 3. The OOA keeps an electronic record of each offender's appeal. When an appeal is received by the OOA, it is assigned a log number and entered into a computer system. The computer system for tracking appeals commenced in 1993. The following information is kept in the computer system and available in the Appeal History Report: the offender's CDCR number, the offender's name, the appeal log number, the appeal issue, the date the appeal was received, the grievance log number (which includes the acronym of the institution where the underlying grievance arose), the date the appeal is closed, and the final disposition of the appeal.
- All offender appeals are reviewed and screened out or screened in by the OOA. An appeal is screened out (and not answered substantively) if it does not comply with the regulations governing the appeal process. Instead, the appeal is returned with a letter instructing the offender how to cure the deficiency, if a cure is possible. An appeal is screened in (and answered substantively) if it complies with the governing regulations. The Appeal History Report includes appeals that were screened out or screened in; and if screened out, the report includes the reason for the screen-out.
- 5. I am familiar with the sections of Title 15 of the California Code of Regulations that governed during the timeframe relevant to Plaintiff's appeal. Before June 1, 2020, a CDCR prisoner was required to follow the procedures set forth in California Code of Regulations, title

3

4

5

7

6

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

///

///

///

///

///

///

24

25

26

27

28

15, sections 3084-3085. As of June 1, 2020, a prisoner is required to follow the procedures set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 15, sections 3480-3487.

- 6. I am familiar with the record keeping system at the OOA and have access to Plaintiff's records. At the request of the Attorney General's Office, a search of the OOA computer system was conducted on December 19, 2021, under the name of Michael V. Nickerson, CDCR No. F77522, for all non-health care related appeals received by the OOA. A true and correct copy of the Appeal History Report for grievances filed before June 1, 2020, is attached as **Exhibit A**. A true and correct copy of the Appeal History report for grievances filed on or after June 1, 2020, is attached as **Exhibit B**.
- A search was conducted of the OOA's records to determine whether any appeals 7. received on or after May 30, 2020, alleged that Defendants violated Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment rights by: (1) improperly transferring COVID-positive inmates from the California Institution for Men (CIM) to San Quentin State Prison in May 2020 or (2) creating unsafe conditions at San Quentin State Prison, including lack of social distancing, protective equipment, testing protocols, or programming.
- 8. A review of the OOA's records shows that Plaintiff did not submit any appeal alleging that Defendants violated Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment rights by improperly transferring COVID-positive inmates from CIM to San Quentin State Prison or by creating unsafe conditions at San Quentin State Prison.
- 9. A further review of the OOA's records shows that after May 30, 2020, Plaintiff submitted one appeal which was processed by the OOA. A true and correct copy of Appeal Log No. 55802 is attached as **Exhibit C**.

10. A further review of the OOA's records does not show any other appeals filed by Plaintiff between May 30, 2020, and March 12, 2021, which covers the time period from the first alleged civil rights violation in Plaintiff's amended complaint until the date Plaintiff filed the amended complaint. Under the laws of the United States of America, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements are true and correct. Executed on December 27, 2021, at Sacramento, California. ... 0912AE2B5137449... HOWARD E. MOSELEY Associate Director, Office of Appeals California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation SF2021401876 91436811.docx